

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,242	12/31/2003	Ryutaro Yamanaka	P24677 6967	
7055	7590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	UM & BERNSTEIN,	CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M		
RESTON, V	ND CLARKE PLACE A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2133	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/748,24	2	YAMANAKA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Mujtaba K.	Chaudry	2133			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 October 2004</u> .						
	nis action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot (s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/24/2004</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 1-28 filed December 31, 2003 are accepted.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title or claim(s). It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not in conformance with the requirements stated in the MPEP. Applicants are suggested to rewrite the abstract using the information stated above including limiting the abstract to a single paragraph and not more than 150 words.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without

Art Unit: 2133

underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

Page 3

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- (d) <u>Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:</u> The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and

Art Unit: 2133

Page 4

Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37

 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) <u>Detailed Description of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly

Art Unit: 2133

complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

Page 5

- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing</u>, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

The disclosure is objected to because it is not in conformance with the requirements stated herein-above. The specification is not written in clear ink and is not formatted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- The term "...capable..." is not a positive limitation and therefore need to be avoided.
- The phrase "...can be..." in the last paragraph is not a positive limitation and therefore need to be avoided.

Art Unit: 2133

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 7 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The claims are in improper dependent form. The dependency need to appear in the

preamble of the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. The terms "high part" and "low part" are relative terms and therefore

are not defined. Applicants are suggest to either cancel these claims or clearly define limitations

therein.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 would be allowed if the Applicant were to adopt the suggested changes as purposed

by the Examiner in the objections and rejections above.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Page 6

Art Unit: 2133

The present invention pertains to a apparatus with a digital signal processing unit which performs Viterbi decoding. Independent Claim 1 recites, "... an instruction fetching unit that fetches instructions; a decoding unit that decodes the instructions fetched by the instruction fetching unit; and a execution unit that executes the instructions decoded by the decoding unit, the execution unit comprising: an arithmetic logic unit configured to perform a register-register arithmetic logic operation, wherein the arithmetic logic unit compares a first data with a second data, in parallel with a comparison of a third data with a fourth data, and the execution unit outputs new path metrics; and wherein the first data, the second data, the third data and the fourth data are one of four results obtained by adding one of two path metrics to one of two branch metrics. The prior art of record, in particular, Araki et al. (USPN 5946361), basically teaches a Viterbi decoding circuit for decoding a convolutional code that comprises a processor for generating comparison result bits indicating transitions between states in said convolutional code, wherein said processor has a counter for providing a part of a designated said read address; a path memory unit coupled to said processor, for storing each comparison result bit generated by said processor at a designated write address, and outputting a comparison result bit thus stored from said designated read address; and a path trace register having a plurality of bit cells for storing bits, coupled in series from a first bit cell to a last bit cell, said first bit cell being coupled to said path memory unit, for storing a state value of one of said states received from said processor in a certain number of contiguous bit cells including said first bit cell, then receiving comparison result bits read from said path memory unit at said first bit cell, thus vacating said first bit cell, and storing the received comparison result bit in said first bit cell, shifting contents of said bit cells in a direction from said first bit cell toward said last bit cell, as each comparison

Art Unit: 2133

result bit is received, for providing contents of said certain number of contiguous bit cells including said first bit cell to said path memory unit as part of said read address, and for outputting contents of at least some of said bit cells as decoded data. However, the prior arts of record do not teach or fairly suggest to configure an arithmetic logic unit to perform a register-register arithmetic logic operation, wherein the arithmetic logic unit compares a first data with a second data, in parallel with a comparison of a third data with a fourth data, and the execution unit outputs new path metrics; and wherein the first data, the second data, the third data and the fourth data are one of four results obtained by adding one of two path metrics to one of two branch metrics.

Independent claim 4 includes similar limitations as those recited in independent claim 1 and therefore is allowable as well.

Dependent claims 2, 3 and 5-8 depend from independent claims 1 or 4 and therefore are allowable as well.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, Applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Art Unit: 2133

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to the examiner,

Mujtaba Chaudry who may be reached at 571-272-3817. The examiner may normally be reached

Mon – Thur 6:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady at 571-272-3819.

Mujtaba Chaudry Art Unit 2133

June 06, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINAR TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100